



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Bolapur Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Jalandhar.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1105 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 29.06.2021 has sought information regarding the list of alcohol samples collected from the year 2015 – a copy of the entry register – a copy of receipts for the value issued – license issued etc. as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon, Jalandhar. The complainant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a complaint in the Commission on 31.08.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Jalandhar. Both the parties are absent.

The complainant vide email has informed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The case is disposed on grounds of the absence of the appellant, with the directions to the PIO of the Civil Surgeon office to provide the sought information.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 16.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh R.P Mittal,
20, Sukhmani Enclave,
P.O Tower Town Colony,
Jalandhar.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Controller Printing & Stationery,
Pb, Sector-18, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1215 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the complainant
Sh.Rupinder Singh, Sr.Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 19.07.2021 has sought information regarding a copy of the extract of the Punjab Printing Press Manual relating to the procedure adopted /various steps taken from time to time the said bill was received for printing till its printing and publishing. Also date and time the act was uploaded on the website of the department etc. as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of The Controller Printing & Stationery, Pb Chandigarh. The complainant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 22.08.2021 after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 13.10.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Jalandhar. As per the respondent, the information has been provided to the complainant.

The complainant is absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 11.03.2022 has withdrawn the complaint.

Since the complainant has withdrawn the complaint, no further course of action is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 16.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Nitin Kumar Garg,
C/o Police Public Dairy,
15-A, Shastari Nagar, Model Town,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SMO,
Distt Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Hoshiarpur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4441 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Nitin Kumar Garg for the Appellant
Sh.Sewa Singh, Sr.Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 05.07.2021 has sought information regarding copies of comparative statements duly approved for the purchase of medicines from 01.07.2020 to 01.07.2021 – amount received from the public in the hospital – a copy of register maintained for purchase of medicines and repair in hospital - fund/grant received in hospital and list of the project received etc. as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of SMO Hoshiarpur. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 08.08.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Hoshiarpur. As per the respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant.

I find that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to. However, I direct the PIO that if the discrepancies pointed out by the appellant exist on record they be provided.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 16.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission

Sh Nitin Kumar Garg,
C/o Police Public Dairy,
15-A, Shastari Nagar, Model Town,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Health, Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4099 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Nitin Kumar Garge for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 10.06.2021 has sought information regarding copies of comparative statements duly approved for the purchase of medicines during 01.06.2020 to 10.06.2021 – details of utilization of medicines, repair in the office like DMC, NHRM – fund/grant received etc. as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon Hoshiarpur. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 12.07.2021 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing the first appeal, the PIO sent information to the appellant vide letter dated 23.07.2021 to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2nd appeal in the Commission.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Hoshiarpur. The appellant informed that he received some information and has pointed out some discrepancies to the PIO vide letter dated 12.01.2022 and again on 23.04.2022.

The respondent is absent nor is represented.

I find that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to. However, I direct the PIO that if the discrepancies pointed out by the appellant exist on record they be provided.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 16.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email:- psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocompunjab.com



Sh Nitin Kumar Garg,
C/o Police Public Dairy,
15-A, Shastari Nagar, Model Town,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SMO, Mukerian,
Distt Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Hoshiarpur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4440 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Nitin Kumar Garg for the Appellant
Dr.Sukhdev Raj Medical Officer for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 05.07.2021 has sought information regarding copies of comparative statements duly approved for the purchase of medicines from 01.07.2020 to 01.07.2021 – amount received from the public in hospital – a copy of register maintained for purchase of medicines and repair in hospital - fund/grant received in hospital and list of the project received etc. as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of SMO Mukerian. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 08.08.2021 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing the first appeal, the PIO sent information to the appellant vide letter dated 18.08.2021 to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2nd appeal in the Commission.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Hoshiarpur. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant.

The appellant claims that the PIO has charged an excessive amount of fee since the PIO has supplied only 340 pages of information against a deposit of Rs.2000/-.

The appellant is correct in raising this objection, as the prescribed fee is Rs.2 per page for an A4 page. As per the amount raised the appellant should have got close to 1000 pages (postage fee deducted). Since the PIO has not provided the number of pages as per the fee raised, the PIO is directed to refund the excess amount immediately after deducting the postage charges for sending the information.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 16.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Thomas Gill, S/o Sh Jagroop Singh,
R/o Village Jhunda, Tehsil Amargarh,
Distt Malerkotla.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Department of Social Justice,
Empowerment & Minorities Deptt,
Pb, SCO-6, Phase-1, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Department of Social Justice,
Empowerment & Minorities Deptt,
Pb, SCO-6, Phase-1, Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4381 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 09.06.2021 has sought a copy of the rule if it exists, that if a person belonging to the SC/ST category were to change his religion, does his caste also get changed? The appellant has sought this information from the office of the Department of Social Justice, Empowerment & Minorities Deptt, Pb, Mohali. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 22.07.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sangrur. Both the parties are absent.

The Commission has received a letter from the PIO through email with a copy to the appellant vide which it has been informed that there are no such instructions that exist in the record.

Having gone through the RTI application, the Commission reiterates that the appellant wants to know that if a person belonging to the SC/ST category changes his/her religion, are there any directions from the government that state that his/her caste also changes. If any such rule is available, it may be provided.

With the above observations and order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 16.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission